

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/692,083	10/19/2000	Bruno Mombrinie	013381.00022	7953	
7:	590 12/19/2001			7953 KAMINER ON, VICKY A PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLES N. QUINN, ESQ. FOX ROTHSCHILD O'BRIEN & FRANKEL, LLP 2000 MARKET STREET, 10TH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			JOHNSON, VICKY A		
PHILADELPH	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3291 ART UNIT PAPER NU		PAPER NUMBER		
			3682		
	DATE MAILED: 12/19/2001				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/692,083	MOMBRINIE, BRUNO
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Vicky A. Johnson	3682
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
THE - Ex aft - If t - If f - Fa - An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Itensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period willure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a)[is action is non-final.	
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the		
Dispos	ition of Claims		
4)[Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>55-61</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Applica	ition Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	
10)□] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abe	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	
12)[] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
ε	ı) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the prior app	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	-
14)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
15)[a) The translation of the foreign language pro- Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •	
Attachme	-	•	
2) 🔲 Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) prmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/692,083

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 55-58 and 62, drawn to the product, classified in class 74, subclass 594.1.
 - II. Claims 60-61, drawn to the method of assembly, classified in class 29, subclass 888.08.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of assembly as claimed could be practiced with another materially different product such as not a bicycle crank set.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Figures 2, 11, 12 and 13.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,083

Art Unit: 3682

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Charley Quinn on November 4th 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vicky A. Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3013. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:00a-5:00p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

vaj $\sqrt{|h\rangle} \sqrt{|a|^{3}}$ December 18, 2001 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600